

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,833	07/17/2002	Peter Schramm	10191/2374	5291
26646	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & ONE BROAL	E KENYON LLP	GANEY, STEVEN J		
	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>C</i>			
	10/089,833	SCHRAMM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven J. Ganey	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 No	<u>ovember 2004</u> .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,833

Art Unit: 3752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on November 1, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

David A. Scherbel.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,833

Art Unit: 3752

1 1

3. Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 1010783.

DE 1010783 shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body and two retaining flanges 23/24 made in one piece with the nozzle body and covering an angular range of about 45<sup>0</sup> in a peripheral direction, see Figures 1 and 3.

4. Claims 7, 8, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1396929.

GB 1396929 shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body 1 and two retaining flanges 2 made as separate components, see Figure 3 and joined to the nozzle body by integral manner at 3 and friction-locking at 4 and covering an angular range of about 45<sup>0</sup> in a peripheral direction, see Figures 1-3.

- 5. Claims 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kennedy. Kennedy shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body 31 and a retainer 60 comprising two retaining flanges A-62/C-63 or retainer 60' comprising two retaining flanges A/C situated with the nozzle body and covering an angular range of about 45<sup>0</sup> in a peripheral direction, see Figures 1, 3 and 4 and Figures 7-9, respectively.
- 6. Claims 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedges.

  Hedges shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body 10 and a retainer 64 comprising two retaining flanges 66/68 situated with the nozzle body and covering an angular range of about 45° in a peripheral direction, see Figures 1 and 2.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/089,833

Art Unit: 3752

. 2

40

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 8. Claims 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Girard.

  Girard shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body 1 and a retainer 9 comprising two retaining flanges 11/12 situated with the nozzle body and covering an angular range of about 45<sup>0</sup> in a peripheral direction, see Figures 3 and 4.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gmelin.

Gmelin shows a fuel injector comprising a nozzle body 5 and a retainer 9 comprising at least one retaining flange 12 situated with the nozzle body, see Figures 1, 2 and 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,833 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1396929. GB 1396929 discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for each of the flanges being welded to the nozzle body. Note that GB 1396929 discloses that the flange is peened into groove 3 and being pushed on(i.e. friction-locking manner). The examiner takes the position that welding and peening are equivalent securing means and that welding would perform equally as well in the apparatus of GB 1396929 as does peening. As to each flange being welded note that the other flanges are pushed onto(i.e. friction-locking manner) the nozzle body and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a weld bead underneath the retaining flange 2 to secure the retaining flange to the nozzle to prevent any rotation and to facilitate securing of the flange in addition to the friction-locking manner.

## Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 6

## Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

sjg

4/20/06

STEVEN J. GANEY

4/20/06